

Properties of the zeros of the polynomials belonging to the Askey scheme

***Oksana Bihun¹ and ^{+◇}Francesco Calogero²**

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Concordia College at Moorhead,
901 8th Str South, Moorhead, MN 56562, USA, +1-218-299-4396

⁺Physics Department, University of Rome “La Sapienza”,
p. Aldo Moro, I-00185 ROMA, Italy, +39-06-4991-4372

[◇]Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma

¹obihun@cord.edu

²francesco.calogero@roma1.infn.it, francesco.calogero@uniroma1.it

Abstract

In this paper we provide properties—which are, to the best of our knowledge, new—of the zeros of the polynomials belonging to the Askey scheme. These findings include Diophantine relations satisfied by these zeros when the parameters characterizing these polynomials are appropriately restricted.

Keywords: Askey scheme, Wilson polynomials, Racah polynomials, zeros of polynomials, Diophantine relations, isospectral matrices

MSC 33C45, 11D41, 15A18

1 Introduction

The properties of the zeros of polynomials are a core problem of mathematics to which, over time, an immense number of investigations have been devoted. Nevertheless new findings in this area continue to emerge, see, for instance, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. In this paper we report findings concerning the zeros of the polynomials belonging to the Askey scheme (see for instance [16]). These results are, to the best of our knowledge, *new*; although the main approach to uncover them is not new, except for some not quite trivial variations. These findings include Diophantine relations satisfied by these zeros when the parameters characterizing these polynomials are appropriately restricted.

The technique used to arrive at the results reported below may be considered to originate from the possibility—firstly noted by G. Szëgo—to relate the zeros of classical polynomials to the equilibria of certain many-body problems [17], and from the subsequent observation that the investigation of the zeros of *time-dependent* polynomials satisfying *linear* PDEs provides a method to identify *solvable* many-body problems (see [18], and for related developments for instance the two books [19, 20]; and see for instance [21] for many findings

which originated from this development and are analogous to those reported below but concern mainly the zeros of *classical* polynomials).

The main findings of this paper are reported in the following Section 2. They detail properties of the zeros of the Wilson and Racah polynomials, which are the two “highest” classes of polynomials belonging to the Askey scheme [16]—so that these polynomials feature 4 arbitrary parameters in addition to their degree N (an arbitrary positive integer). Analogous properties can of course be obtained, from the results reported below, for the zeros of the (variously “named” [16]) polynomials belonging to “lower” classes of the Askey scheme, via the reductions—corresponding to special assignments of the parameters—that characterize the Askey scheme [16]; but we leave this as a task for the interested reader. The results thus obtained for the “lowest” classes of polynomials belonging to the Askey scheme shall of course reproduce already known findings valid for the *classical* polynomials [21].

Our findings are proven in Section 3, and a very terse Section 4 entitled Outlook outlines future developments. The definitions and some standard properties of the Wilson and Racah polynomials are reported in the Appendix, for the convenience of the reader and also to specify our notation; the reader is advised to glance through this Appendix before reading the next section, and then to return to it whenever appropriate.

The results that follow are only a consequence of the *explicit definitions* of the Wilson and Racah polynomials and of the *difference equations* they satisfy (see the Appendix); the orthogonality properties that these polynomials satisfy—in the case of the Racah polynomials, for integrations on a discrete measure—play no role, so that the results reported below do *not* require the restrictions on the parameters of these polynomials—or, in the case of the Racah polynomials, on their arguments—that are instead mandatory for the validity of their orthogonality properties.

Finally, let us emphasize that the results reported in this paper are *not* special cases of those reported in [9]; indeed the Wilson and Racah polynomials, while defined in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions, do not belong to the class of generalized hypergeometric polynomials treated in [9], due to the quite different definitions of the quantity playing the role of argument of these polynomials.

2 Main results

To formulate our main results we refer to the definitions and standard properties of the Wilson and Racah polynomials as reported in the Appendix, to which the reader should also refer for the notation employed hereafter. The proofs of these results are provided in the following Section 3.

Hereafter the symbol $\mathbf{i}$ is the *imaginary unit*, $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$.

Our main results concerning the zeros of Wilson and Racah polynomials read as follows:

Proposition 2.1. Let $\bar{z}_s = \bar{x}_s^2$ (with $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be the N zeros of the Wilson

polynomial $W_N(z; a, b, c, d) \equiv W_N(x^2; a, b, c, d)$ of degree N in $z = x^2$ (with N an arbitrary positive integer), so that $W_N(\bar{z}_s; a, b, c, d) \equiv W_N(\bar{x}_s^2; a, b, c, d) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Define the $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$, componentwise, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{nn} = & (2\bar{x}_n)^{-2} \left\{ \left[\frac{2A(\bar{x}_n)}{\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n} + \mathbf{i}A'(\bar{x}_n) \right] \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N \left(1 - \frac{1 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n}{\bar{x}_n^2 - \bar{x}_\ell^2} \right) \right. \\ & + 2A(\bar{x}_n) \sum_{m=1, m \neq n} \frac{\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n - (\bar{x}_n^2 + \bar{x}_m^2)}{(\bar{x}_n^2 - \bar{x}_m^2)^2} \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n, m}^N \left(1 - \frac{1 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n}{\bar{x}_n^2 - \bar{x}_\ell^2} \right) \\ & \left. + [(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))] \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (1a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{nm} = & - (2\bar{x}_n)^{-2} \left\{ 2A(\bar{x}_n) \frac{\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_m(1 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)}{(\bar{x}_n^2 - \bar{x}_m^2)^2} \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n, m}^N \left(1 - \frac{1 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n}{\bar{x}_n^2 - \bar{x}_\ell^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + [(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))] \right\}, \quad m, n = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad m \neq n, \end{aligned} \quad (1b)$$

where

$$A(\bar{x}_n) \equiv A(\bar{x}_n; a, b, c, d) = \alpha_4 + \mathbf{i}\alpha_3\bar{x}_n - \alpha_2\bar{x}_n^2 - \mathbf{i}\alpha_1\bar{x}_n^3 + \bar{x}_n^4, \quad (2a)$$

$$A'(\bar{x}_n) \equiv A'(\bar{x}_n; a, b, c, d) = \mathbf{i}\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_2\bar{x}_n - 3\mathbf{i}\alpha_1\bar{x}_n^2 + 4\bar{x}_n^3, \quad (2b)$$

$$\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1(a, b, c, d) = a + b + c + d, \quad (3a)$$

$$\alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_2(a, b, c, d) = ab + ac + ad + bc + bd + cd, \quad (3b)$$

$$\alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_3(a, b, c, d) = bcd + acd + abd + abc, \quad (3c)$$

$$\alpha_4 \equiv \alpha_4(a, b, c, d) = abcd. \quad (3d)$$

The symbol $+[(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))]$ denotes the *addition* of everything that comes before it (within the curly brackets), with the replacement of $\bar{x}_s$ with $(-\bar{x}_s)$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Note that these matrix elements M_{nm} are *even* functions of the $\bar{x}_s$ (i. e., functions of $\bar{z}_s = \bar{x}_s^2$; rather than $\bar{x}_s$), because the addition implied by the symbol $+[(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))]$ causes *all* terms *odd* in $\bar{x}_s$ to cancel out (for all values of $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$).

Then this $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$ has the N eigenvalues

$$m(2N - m + a + b + c + d - 1) = m(2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad \square \quad (4)$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $R_N(z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \equiv R_N(y^2 - \theta^2; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ be the Racah polynomial of degree $N \leq \nu$ in the variable $z = y^2 - \theta^2$, where $\theta = \frac{\gamma + \delta + 1}{2}$, with the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and ν satisfying conditions (42). Let $\bar{z}_s = \bar{y}_s^2 - \theta^2$ be the

N zeros of this polynomial, so that $R_N(\bar{z}_s; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = R_N(\bar{y}_s^2 - \theta^2; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = 0$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Define the $N \times N$ matrix $\tilde{\underline{M}}$, componentwise, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_{nn} = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{D}(\bar{y}_n)}{\bar{y}_n^2} - \frac{\tilde{D}'(\bar{y}_n)}{\bar{y}_n} \right) (1 + 2\bar{y}_n) - 2 \frac{\tilde{D}(\bar{y}_n)}{\bar{y}_n} \right] \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2\bar{y}_n}{\bar{y}_n^2 - \bar{y}_\ell^2} \right) \right. \\ & + 2 \frac{\tilde{D}(\bar{y}_n)}{\bar{y}_n} (1 + 2\bar{y}_n) \sum_{m=1, m \neq n}^N \left[\frac{\bar{y}_n^2 + \bar{y}_m^2 + \bar{y}_n}{(\bar{y}_n^2 - \bar{y}_m^2)^2} \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n, m}^N \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2\bar{y}_n}{\bar{y}_n^2 - \bar{y}_\ell^2} \right) \right] \\ & \left. + [(\bar{y}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{y}_s))] \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (5a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_{nm} = & -\frac{1}{(\bar{y}_n^2 - \bar{y}_m^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{\bar{y}_m \tilde{D}(\bar{y}_n)}{\bar{y}_n} (1 + 2\bar{y}_n)^2 \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n, m}^N \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2\bar{y}_n}{\bar{y}_n^2 - \bar{y}_\ell^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + [(\bar{y}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{y}_s))] \right\}, \quad n, m = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad n \neq m, \end{aligned} \quad (5b)$$

where

$$\tilde{D}(\bar{y}_n) = \frac{(2\bar{y}_n + \gamma + \delta + 1)(2\bar{y}_n + \gamma - \delta + 1)(2\bar{y}_n + 2\alpha - \gamma - \delta + 1)(2\bar{y}_n + 2\beta - \gamma + \delta + 1)}{32\bar{y}_n(2\bar{y}_n + 1)} \quad (6)$$

and again, the symbol $[(\bar{y}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{y}_s))]$ denotes the addition of everything that comes before it (within the curly brackets), with $\bar{y}_s$ replaced by $(-\bar{y}_s)$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Note that these matrix elements $\tilde{M}_{nm}$ are functions of $\bar{z}_s = \bar{y}_s^2 - \theta^2$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$, because the addition implied by the symbol $[(\bar{y}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{y}_s))]$ causes *all* terms *odd* in $\bar{y}_s$ to cancel out (for all values of $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$).

Then this $N \times N$ matrix $\tilde{\underline{M}}$ has the eigenvalues

$$m(m - 2N - \alpha - \beta - 1), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad \square \quad (7)$$

The following immediate corollaries of *Propositions* 2.1. and 2.2 are worth a mention.

Corollary 2.3.

(i) (Wilson) If $\alpha_1 = a + b + c + d$ (see (3a)) is an *integer* number (or a *rational* number), the N eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$ (see (1)) are all *integer* (or *rational*) numbers.

(ii) (Racah) If $\alpha + \beta$ is an *integer* number (or a *rational* number), the N eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$ (see (5)) are all *integer* (or *rational*) numbers. $\square$.

These are remarkable Diophantine properties.

Corollary 2.4.

(i) (Wilson) The $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$ (see (1))—which depends of course on the 4 *a priori* arbitrary parameters a, b, c, d , explicitly via $A(\bar{x}_n)$ and $A'(\bar{x}_n)$, see (2) and (3), and implicitly via the dependence on these 4 parameters of the N zeros $\bar{z}_n \equiv \bar{z}_n(N; a, b, c, d)$ of the Wilson polynomials $W_N(z; a, b, c, d) \equiv W_N(x^2; a, b, c, d)$ —is *isospectral* under any variation of these 4 parameters which does not change the value of $\alpha_1 \equiv a + b + c + d$ —or, equivalently, under any variation (without any restriction) of the values of the 3 parameters $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ (see (3)).

(ii) (Racah) The $N \times N$ matrix $\tilde{M}$ defined by (5), which depends on the 4 parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ satisfying condition (42), explicitly via $\tilde{D}(\bar{y}_n)$ and implicitly via the dependence of the zeros $\bar{z}_n = \bar{y}_n^2 - \theta^2$ of the Racah polynomial $R_N(z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = R_N(y^2 - \theta^2; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ on these 4 parameters, is *isospectral* under any variation of these 4 parameters that does not change the value of $\alpha + \beta$ and preserves condition (42). $\square$

Corollary 2.5. Several identities satisfied by the zeros of the Wilson and the Racah polynomials are implied by the following standard consequences of *Propositions 2.1* and *2.2*:

(i) (Wilson)

$$\text{trace} \left[(\underline{M})^k \right] = \sum_{m=1}^N [m(2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1)]^k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (8a)$$

$$\det [\underline{M}] = \prod_{m=1}^N [m(2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1)] = \frac{N! \Gamma(2N + \alpha_1 - 1)}{\Gamma(N + \alpha_1 - 1)}. \quad (8b)$$

(ii) (Racah)

$$\text{trace} \left[(\tilde{M})^k \right] = \sum_{m=1}^N [m(m - 2N - \alpha - \beta - 1)]^k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (9a)$$

$$\det [\tilde{M}] = \prod_{m=1}^N [m(m - 2N - \alpha - \beta - 1)] = \frac{N! \Gamma(-N - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(-2N - \alpha - \beta)}. \quad \square \quad (9b)$$

3 Proofs of the main results

We begin with the proof of *Proposition 2.1*.

Let $\psi_{2N}(x, t) \equiv \psi_{2N}(x, t; a, b, c, d)$ be an *even monic polynomial* of degree $2N$ in x satisfying the Differential-Difference Equation (DDE)

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{2N}(x, t)}{\partial t} = \mathbf{i} [B(x)(1 - \delta_-) + B(-x)(1 - \delta_+) + N(N + \alpha_1 - 1)] \psi_{2N}(x, t), \quad (10a)$$

where $B(x) \equiv B(x; a, b, c, d)$ is defined by (38b) and the shift operators $\delta_{\pm}$ act on functions of the variable x as follows:

$$\delta_{\pm} f(x) = f(x \pm \mathbf{i}) , \quad (10b)$$

and $\alpha_1 = a + b + c + d$, see (3a).

To prove that such polynomials exist we introduce their representation as a sum (with t -dependent coefficients) over the first $N + 1$ (monic) Wilson polynomials:

$$\psi_{2N}(x, t) = p_N(x^2) + \sum_{m=1}^N [c_m(t) p_{N-m}(x^2)] . \quad (11)$$

Here of course $p_{\ell}(z) \equiv p_{\ell}(z; a, b, c, d)$ is the *monic* Wilson polynomial of degree ℓ , see (36a).

It is then plain that this representation is valid—i. e., consistent with the *monic polynomial* character of the solution $\psi_{2N}(x, t)$ of the DDE (10)—because its insertion in the DDE (10) implies, via DDE (38), the following simple t -evolution of the N coefficients c_m :

$$\dot{c}_m(t) = \mathbf{i} m (2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1) c_m(t) , \quad (12a)$$

entailing

$$c_m(t) = c_m(0) \exp[\mathbf{i} m (2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1) t] . \quad (12b)$$

Of course here and hereafter a superimposed dot denotes a t -differentiation.

Hereafter we shall occasionally take advantage of the following obvious notational identities:

$$\psi_{2N}(x, t) \equiv \Psi_N(z, t) \quad \text{with} \quad z = x^2 , \quad (13a)$$

$$p_{2N}(x) \equiv P_N(z) \quad \text{with} \quad z = x^2 . \quad (13b)$$

Next, let us introduce the N (of course t -dependent) zeros $z_n(t)$ of the polynomial $\Psi_N(z, t)$ by writing

$$\Psi_N(z, t) = \prod_{n=1}^N [z - z_n(t)] , \quad (14a)$$

and likewise

$$\psi_{2N}(x, t) \equiv \Psi_N(x^2, t) = \prod_{n=1}^N [x^2 - x_n^2(t)] , \quad (14b)$$

implying of course $z_n(t) = x_n^2(t)$.

Let us now focus on the t -evolution of these N zeros $x_n \equiv x_n(t)$, as implied by the DDE (10).

The first observation is that the *equilibrium* configuration of these N zeros is provided by the N zeros $\bar{z}_n = \bar{x}_n^2$ of the Wilson polynomial $W_N(z) = w_{2N}(x)$ (of degree N in $z = x^2$). Indeed, if the solution $\psi_{2N}(x, t)$ of DDE (10a) satisfies

$\psi_{2N}(x, 0) = w_{2N}(x)$, then the right-hand side of (10a) vanishes at $t = 0$, which implies $\psi_{2N}(x, t) = \psi_{2N}(x, 0) = w_{2N}(x)$ is time-independent. Hence $x_n(0) = \bar{x}_n$ implies $x_n(t) = x_n(0) = \bar{x}_n$. Likewise, this of course implies that, for the N coefficients c_m , see (11), the *equilibrium* configuration is that *they all vanish*, i. e. $c_m(t) = c_m(0) = \bar{c}_m = 0$; this being indeed the unique *equilibrium* configuration associated to the simple system of t -evolution equations (12).

Next, let us look at the actual t -evolution of the zeros $x_n(t)$ implied by DDE (10). To this end the following formulas are useful:

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{2N}(x, t)}{\partial t} = -2 \psi_{2N}(x, t) \sum_{m=1}^N \dot{x}_m(t) x_m(t) [x^2 - x_m^2(t)]^{-1}, \quad (15a)$$

which obtains by logarithmic t -differentiation of (14b), and clearly implies (again, via (14b)), for $x = x_n(t)$, the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \psi_{2N}(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{x=x_n(t)} &\equiv \frac{\partial \psi_{2N}(x_n(t), t)}{\partial t} \\ &= -2 \dot{x}_n(t) x_n(t) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N [x_n^2(t) - x_m^2(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15b)$$

As for the right-hand side of DDE (10), the following chain of relations are clearly implied by (10b), (3a), (14b) and (38b):

$$\begin{aligned} &[B(x) (1 - \delta_-) + B(-x) (1 - \delta_+) + N(N + \alpha_1 - 1)] \psi_{2N}(x, t)|_{x=x_n(t)} \\ &= -B(x_n(t)) \psi_{2N}(x_n(t) - \mathbf{i}, t) - B(-x_n(t)) \psi_{2N}(x_n(t) + \mathbf{i}, t) \\ &= [1 + 2\mathbf{i}x_n(t)] B(x_n(t)) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N [x_n^2(t) - x_m^2(t) - 1 - 2\mathbf{i}x_n(t)] \\ &\quad + [1 - 2\mathbf{i}x_n(t)] B(-x_n(t)) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N [x_n^2(t) - x_m^2(t) - 1 + 2\mathbf{i}x_n(t)] \\ &= \left\{ \frac{(a + \mathbf{i}x_n)(b + \mathbf{i}x_n)(c + \mathbf{i}x_n)(d + \mathbf{i}x_n)}{2\mathbf{i}x_n} \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N [x_n^2 - x_m^2 - 1 - 2\mathbf{i}x_n] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(a - \mathbf{i}x_n)(b - \mathbf{i}x_n)(c - \mathbf{i}x_n)(d - \mathbf{i}x_n)}{2\mathbf{i}x_n} \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N [x_n^2 - x_m^2 - 1 + 2\mathbf{i}x_n] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Note that, in the last right-hand side, we omitted for notational simplicity to indicate explicitly the t -dependence of the quantities $x_n \equiv x_n(t)$ and $x_m \equiv x_m(t)$; and we shall often also do so below.

Hence it is now clear—by inserting this last formula and (15b) in DDE (10)—that the t -dependent zeros $z_n(t) = x_n^2(t)$ of the polynomial $\psi_{2N}(x, t) \equiv \Psi_N(x^2, t) \equiv$

$\Psi_N(z, t)$ satisfy the following system of “equations of motion”:

$$\begin{aligned}
2x_n \dot{x}_n = \dot{z}_n = -\mathbf{i} & \left\{ \frac{A(x_n)}{2\mathbf{i}x_n} \left[\prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N \left(\frac{x_n^2 - x_m^2 - 1 - 2\mathbf{i}x_n}{x_n^2 - x_m^2} \right) \right] \right. \\
& \left. + [(x_s \rightarrow (-x_s))] \right\} \\
= F_n(x_n^2; x_\ell^2, \ell \neq n) & = F_n(z_n; z_\ell, \ell \neq n) = F_n(z_n; z_\ell, \ell \neq n; a, b, c, d) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the 4 parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are defined in terms of the 4 parameters a, b, c, d as above, see (3), the symbol $[(x_s \rightarrow (-x_s))]$ has the same meaning as above (see after (3)), the function $A(x)$ is defined by (2a), and of course the function $F_n(z_n; z_s, s \neq n; a, b, c, d)$ is defined by this equation since the expression in the curly bracket is obviously an *even* function of the x_s , hence a function of the $z_s = x_s^2$, for all values of $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Next, let us look at what happens *infinitesimally close* to the equilibrium configuration. To do so we set

$$x_n(t) = \bar{x}_n + \varepsilon \xi_n(t) , \tag{18a}$$

with ε infinitesimal. This of course implies the relation

$$\dot{x}_n(t) = \varepsilon \dot{\xi}_n(t) , \quad \dot{z}_n(t) = 2 x_n(t) \dot{x}_n(t) = 2 \varepsilon x_n(t) \dot{\xi}_n(t) . \tag{18b}$$

Next, let us insert the *ansatz* (18a) in the right-hand side of (17) and expand the resulting expression in powers of ε . The term of zeroth order in ε of course vanishes, see (40d). The term of first order in ε yields the (linearized) t -evolution equation

$$\dot{\xi}_n = \mathbf{i} \sum_{m=1}^N M_{nm} \xi_m , \tag{19}$$

with the $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$ defined componentwise by (1) in terms of the N zeros $\bar{z}_n = \bar{x}_n^2$ of the Wilson polynomial $W_N(z; a, b, c, d) \equiv W_N(x^2; a, b, c, d) \equiv w_{2N}(x; a, b, c, d)$ (of degree N in $z = x^2$) and of the 4 parameters a, b, c, d (or, equivalently, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$, see (3)).

The terms of higher order in ε yield systems of algebraic equations satisfied by the zeros $\bar{x}_n$ of the Wilson polynomial $W_N(z) = w_{2N}(x)$.

The proof of *Proposition 2.1* is now a consequence of the fact that the solution of the system of linear ODEs (19) is clearly a linear superposition (with t -independent coefficients) of exponentials, $\exp(\mathbf{i}\mu_m t)$, where the quantities μ_m (with $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are the N eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{M}$; but, due to the simultaneous validity of the relations (11), (12) and (14b)—and to (18a)—this solution must also be a linear superposition (with t -independent coefficients) of the t -dependent quantities $c_m(t)$. Hence (12b) implies $\mu_m = N(2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1)$. *Proposition 2.1* is thereby proven.

Note that in our treatment we are implicitly assuming that the zeros $x_n(t)$ are—for all values of t —all different among themselves. This is indeed the *generic* situation. Any nongeneric event—like the “collision” of two different zeros at some special value of the parameter t —can be dealt with by appropriate limits and in any case such possibilities—should they occur—do not invalidate the proof of *Proposition 2.1*, as reported above.

The proof of *Proposition 2.2* is analogous to that of *Proposition 2.1*; it is sketched below.

Let $\tilde{q}_{2N}(y) = Q_N(y^2 - \theta^2)$ be the polynomial of degree $2N$ in y defined by (49), where $Q_N(z)$ is the monic version of the Racah polynomial $R_N(z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, see (45).

Suppose that the polynomial (with time-dependent coefficients)

$$\tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y, t) = \tilde{q}_{2N}(y) + \sum_{m=1}^N c_m(t) \tilde{q}_{(2N-2m)}(y) \quad (20)$$

solves the following DDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(y, t) = \mathbf{i} \left[\tilde{D}(y)(\tilde{\delta}_+ - 1) + \tilde{D}(-y)(\tilde{\delta}_- - 1) - N(N + \alpha + \beta + 1) \right] f(y, t) , \quad (21a)$$

where

$$\tilde{\delta}_\pm f(y, t) = f(y \pm 1, t) \quad (21b)$$

and $\tilde{D}$ is defined by (6). Because $\tilde{q}_{2N}(y)$ satisfies difference equation (50), $\tilde{q}_{2N}(y)$ is an equilibrium solution of (21).

Using (48b) and (49), we rewrite polynomial (20) as

$$\tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y, t) = Q_N(\lambda(x)) + \sum_{m=1}^N c_m(t) Q_{N-m}(\lambda(x)) \equiv \Psi(\lambda(x), t) . \quad (22)$$

The last equality defines the polynomial $\Psi_N(z, t)$ of degree N (with time-dependent coefficients). Substitution of *ansatz* (20) into DDE (21) yields the system of ODEs for the coefficients $c_m(t)$

$$\dot{c}_m(t) = \mathbf{i} m (m - 2N - \alpha - \beta - 1) c_m(t) , \quad (23a)$$

whose solution is

$$c_m(t) = c_m(0) \exp[\mathbf{i} m (m - 2N - \alpha - \beta - 1) t] . \quad (23b)$$

Therefore, DDE (21) indeed has a polynomial solution, with time-dependent coefficients, given by (20). Also, system of ODEs (23a) has the unique equilibrium $c_m(t) \equiv 0$, which agrees with the fact that $\tilde{q}_{2N}(y)$ is the equilibrium of DDE (21).

In this proof, let $z_1(t), z_2(t), \dots, z_N(t)$ denote the zeros of the polynomial $\Psi_N(z, t)$ defined by (22). Then $\Psi_N(z, t) = \prod_{n=1}^N (z - z_n(t))$ and

$$\tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y, t) = \Psi_N(y^2 - \theta^2) = \prod_{m=1}^N [y^2 - y_m^2(t)] , \quad (24)$$

where $y_m^2(t) = z_m(t) + \theta^2$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

To recast DDE (21) in terms of the zeros $y_m(t)$ of $\tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y, t)$, where $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we compute, similarly to (15) and (16),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y, t)|_{y=y_n(t)} = -2\dot{y}_n(t)y_n(t) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N [y_n^2(t) - y_m^2(t)] \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\tilde{D}(y)(\tilde{\delta}_+ - 1) + \tilde{D}(-y)(\tilde{\delta}_- - 1) - N(N + \alpha + \beta + 1) \right] \tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y, t) \Big|_{y=y_n(t)} \\ &= \tilde{D}(y_n) \tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y_n + 1, t) + \tilde{D}(-y_n) \tilde{\psi}_{2N}(y_n - 1, t) \\ &= \tilde{D}(y_n)(2y_n + 1) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N ((y_n + 1)^2 - y_m^2) \\ & \quad + \tilde{D}(-y_n)(-2y_n + 1) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^N ((y_n - 1)^2 - y_m^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Taking into account relations (25) and (26), we conclude that the zeros $y_n(t)$ satisfy the system of ODEs

$$\dot{y}_n = \mathbf{i} F_n(\underline{y}) , \quad (27a)$$

where $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\underline{y}) &= -\frac{1}{2y_n} \left\{ \tilde{D}(y_n)(2y_n + 1) \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2y_n}{y_n^2 - y_\ell^2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \tilde{D}(-y_n)(-2y_n + 1) \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N \left(1 + \frac{1 - 2y_n}{y_n^2 - y_\ell^2} \right) \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (27b)$$

This system of ODEs, (27), can be reformulated in terms of $z_n(t) = y_n(t)^2 - \theta^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z}_n &= 2y_n \dot{y}_n = -\mathbf{i} \left\{ \tilde{D}(y_n)(2y_n + 1) \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2y_n}{y_n^2 - y_\ell^2} \right) + [(y_s \rightarrow (-y_s))] \right\}, \\ n &= 1, \dots, N , \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the symbol $+(y_s \rightarrow (-y_s))$ denotes again the addition of the expression preceding it within the curly brackets, with y_s replaced by $(-y_s)$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$. It is therefore obvious that the right-hand sides of these ODEs are *even* functions of y_s , hence functions of $z_s = y_s^2 - \theta^2$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Now let $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_N$ be the N zeros of the Racah polynomial $R_N(z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, hence also the zeros of $Q_N(z)$, see (45). Let $\pm \bar{y}_1, \pm \bar{y}_2, \dots, \pm \bar{y}_N$ be the zeros of $\tilde{q}_{2N}(y)$; these numbers satisfy the relations $\bar{y}_m^2 = \bar{z}_m + \theta^2$. To linearize system (27a) about $\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_N$, we set

$$y_m(t) = \bar{y}_m + \varepsilon \eta_m(t) \quad (29)$$

with ε infinitesimal. This leads to the system of linear equations

$$\dot{\underline{\eta}} = \mathbf{i} \, \underline{\tilde{M}} \, \underline{\eta} \, , \quad (30)$$

where $\underline{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N)$ and the matrix $\underline{\tilde{M}}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{M}_{nm} = \left. \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial y_m}(\underline{y}) \right|_{y_k = \bar{y}_k, \, k=1, \dots, N} \, . \quad (31)$$

And it is easily seen that the explicit expressions for the components of the matrix $\underline{\tilde{M}}$ are given by (5).

The proof of *Proposition 2.2* is now a consequence of the fact that the solution of the system of linear ODEs (30) is clearly a linear superposition (with t -independent coefficients) of exponentials, $\exp(\mathbf{i} \tilde{\mu}_m t)$, where the quantities $\tilde{\mu}_m$ (with $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are the N eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ matrix $\underline{\tilde{M}}$; but, due to the simultaneous validity of the relations (20), (23a) and (24)—and to (29)—this solution must also be a linear superposition (with t -independent coefficients) of the t -dependent quantities $c_m(t)$, see (20) and (23b). Hence (23b) implies $\tilde{\mu}_m = N(2N - m + \alpha_1 - 1)$. *Proposition 2.1* is thereby proven.

4 Outlook

A follow-up to the findings reported in this paper are analogous results for the zeros of the Askey-Wilson and q -Racah polynomials, i. e. the "highest" polynomials belonging to the q -Askey scheme [16]. Likewise, a follow-up to the findings reported in [9] are analogous results for generalized basic hypergeometric polynomials. We hope to be able to obtain and report these results soon.

5 Acknowledgements

One of us (OB) would like to acknowledge with thanks the hospitality of the Physics Department of the University of Rome "La Sapienza" on the occasion of three two-week visits there in June 2012, May 2013 and June-July 2014; the results reported in this paper were obtained during the last of these visits. The other one (FC) would like to acknowledge with thanks the hospitality of Concordia College for a one-week visit there in November 2013.

6 Appendix: Standard definitions and properties of the Wilson and Racah polynomials

In this Appendix we report for the convenience of the reader, and also to identify the notation used throughout this paper, a number of standard formulas associated with the Wilson and Racah polynomials. We generally report these formulas from the standard compilations [22] respectively [16] (the formulas of

which are identified by the notations (HTF1-X) respectively (KS-X), where X stands here for the notation appropriate to identify equations in each of these compilations); in some cases we add certain immediate consequences of these formulas which are not explicitly displayed in these compilations nor (to the best of our knowledge) elsewhere.

Let us recall that hereafter $\mathbf{i}$ denotes the imaginary unit, $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$.

The Pochhammer symbol is defined as follows (see (HTF1-5.1(3))):

$$(a)_0 = 1, \quad (a)_n = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (32)$$

where of course $\Gamma(z)$ is the standard Gamma function.

The generalized hypergeometric function is defined as follows (see (HTF1-5.1(2)), (KS-0.4.1)):

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(a_0)_k (a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k (b_2)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \right], \quad (33)$$

with p and q two positive integers (note that it is generally understood that no one of the q parameters b_n coincide with any one of the $p+1$ parameters a_n since in such a case these two parameters would cancel out in the definition (33), which would then merely reduce to the definition of the hypergeometric function ${}_{p-1}F_{q-1}$). The hypergeometric function (33) becomes of course a polynomial in z of degree N if one of the parameters a_n has the value $-N$ with N a positive integer—say, $a_0 = -N$ (without loss of generality, since the definition (33) implies that the generalized hypergeometric function is invariant under permutations of the $p+1$ parameters a_n as well as under permutations of the q parameters b_n)—provided no one of the other parameter a_n is a negative integer smaller in modulus than N and no one of the parameters b_n is a negative integer (as hereafter assumed). This is of course a simple consequence of the fact that, if N is a positive integer, $(-N)_n$ vanishes for $n > N$, see (32). Note that in this case the hypergeometric function is also a polynomial in each of the p parameters a_n with $n = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

6.1 Formulas for the Wilson polynomials

The Wilson polynomial $W_N(z; a, b, c, d)$ with $z = x^2$ —and its rescaled “tilded” version $\tilde{W}_N(z; a, b, c, d)$ —are defined as follows (see (KS-1.1.1) and (KS-1.1.4)):

$$W_N(z; a, b, c, d) = (a+b)_N (a+c)_N (a+d)_N \tilde{W}_N(z; a, b, c, d), \quad (34a)$$

$$\tilde{W}_N(z; a, b, c, d) = {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -N, N+a+b+c+d-1, a+\mathbf{i}x, a-\mathbf{i}x \\ a+b, a+c, a+d \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad (34b)$$

or equivalently (see (32) and (33)),

$$\tilde{W}_N(z; a, b, c, d) = \sum_{k=0}^N \left[\frac{(-N)_k (N+a+b+c+d-1)_k [a; z]_k}{k! (a+b)_k (a+c)_k (a+d)_k} \right], \quad (34c)$$

where we introduced the new (modified Pochhammer) symbol

$$\begin{aligned} [a; x^2]_k &= (a + \mathbf{i}x)_k (a - \mathbf{i}x)_k , \\ [a; z]_0 &= 1 , \\ [a; z]_k &= (a^2 + z) [(a+1)^2 + z] \cdots [(a+k-1)^2 + z] , \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

It is plain from this formula that $[a; z]_k$ is a polynomial of degree k in z (and also of degree $2k$ in a), hence that the Wilson polynomial $W_N(z; a, b, c, d)$ are indeed polynomials of degree N in z (see (34c)).

Notational remark. For notational simplicity we often omit to indicate explicitly the dependence on the 4 parameters a, b, c, d —provided this entails no ambiguity. $\square$

Another interesting *avatar* of the Wilson polynomials—corresponding to an alternative rescaling—reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(z) &\equiv p_N(z; a, b, c, d) = \frac{(-1)^N W_N(z; a, b, c, d)}{(N + a + b + c + d - 1)_N} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^N (a+b)_N (a+c)_N (a+d)_N}{(N + a + b + c + d - 1)_N} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{k=0}^N \left\{ \frac{(-N)_k (N + a + b + c + d - 1)_k [a; z]_k}{k! (a+b)_k (a+c)_k (a+d)_k} \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (36a)$$

Note that, as implied by (34c) and (??) (together with the identity $(-N)_N = (-1)^N N!$, see (32)), the polynomial $p_N(z)$ is *monic*, i. e. its highest power z^N has *unit* coefficient, implying

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{p_N(z)}{z^N} \right] = 1 . \quad (36b)$$

It is finally convenient to introduce the notation

$$w_{2N}(x) \equiv w_{2N}(x; a, b, c, d) = W_N(x^2; a, b, c, d) \quad (37)$$

to report the *difference equation* satisfied by the Wilson polynomials (see (KS-1.1.6), and note the minor notational changes we introduced):

$$\begin{aligned} &B(-x) w_{2N}(x + \mathbf{i}) + B(x) w_{2N}(x - \mathbf{i}) \\ &= [N(N + a + b + c + d - 1) + B(-x) + B(x)] w_{2N}(x) , \end{aligned} \quad (38a)$$

where $B(x) \equiv B(x; a, b, c, d)$ is defined by

$$B(x) \equiv B(x; a, b, c, d) = \frac{(a + \mathbf{i}x)(b + \mathbf{i}x)(c + \mathbf{i}x)(d + \mathbf{i}x)}{2\mathbf{i}x(2\mathbf{i}x + 1)} . \quad (38b)$$

Note that $w_{2N}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree N in x^2 , hence—as suggested by its notation—an *even* polynomial of degree $2N$ in x .

Let us now introduce the N zeros $\bar{z}_n \equiv \bar{z}_n(N; a, b, c, d)$ of the Wilson polynomial $W_N(z) \equiv W_N(z; a, b, c, d)$ (or $\bar{W}_N(z) \equiv \bar{W}_N(z; a, b, c, d)$ or $p_N(z) \equiv p_N(z; a, b, c, d)$), clearly such—recalling that $p_N(z)$ is monic, see (36b)—that there hold the formula

$$p_N(z) = \prod_{m=1}^N (z - \bar{z}_m) ; \quad (39a)$$

as well as the $2N$ zeros, $\pm \bar{x}_n \equiv \pm \bar{x}_n(N; a, b, c, d) = \pm \sqrt{\bar{z}_n}$, of the even polynomial $w_{2N}(x)$, see (37), of degree $2N$, for which there holds the analogous formula

$$w_{2N}(x) = (-1)^N (N + a + b + c + d - 1)_N \prod_{m=1}^N (x^2 - \bar{x}_m^2) . \quad (39b)$$

Let us note that, for $x = \bar{x}_n \equiv \bar{x}_n(N; a, b, c, d)$, formula (38a) implies

$$B(-\bar{x}_n) w_{2N}(\bar{x}_n + \mathbf{i}) + B(\bar{x}_n) w_{2N}(\bar{x}_n - \mathbf{i}) = 0 , \quad (40a)$$

hence, via (39b) and (38b),

$$\prod_{m=1}^N \left[\frac{\bar{x}_n^2 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n - 1 - \bar{x}_m^2}{\bar{x}_n^2 - 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n - 1 - \bar{x}_m^2} \right] = \frac{(1 - 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(a + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(b + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(c + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(d + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)}{(1 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(a - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(b - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(c - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(d - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)} , \quad (40b)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(a + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(b + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(c + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(d + \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)}{\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n} \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N (\bar{x}_n^2 - 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n - 1 - \bar{x}_\ell^2) \\ &= \frac{(a - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(b - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(c - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(d - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)}{\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n} \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N (\bar{x}_n^2 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n - 1 - \bar{x}_\ell^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (40c)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(a - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(b - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(c - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)(d - \mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n)}{\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n} \prod_{\ell=1, \ell \neq n}^N (\bar{x}_n^2 + 2\mathbf{i}\bar{x}_n - 1 - \bar{x}_\ell^2) \\ & \quad + [(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))] = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (40d)$$

where (here and throughout) the symbol $[(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))]$ denotes the addition of everything that comes before it, with the replacement of $\bar{x}_s$ with $(-\bar{x}_s)$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

It is plain that the left-hand sides of the N algebraic equations (40d) are N polynomials of degree $N + 1$ in the N variables $\bar{x}_m^2 = \bar{z}_m$ because all terms containing an *odd* power of $\bar{x}_n$ cancel out due to the addition caused by the symbol $+[(\bar{x}_s \rightarrow (-\bar{x}_s))]$ (note incidentally that the zeros $\bar{x}_\ell$ with $\ell \neq n$ only enter as squares to begin with, see (40d)). Hence these are N polynomial equations of degree $N + 1$ satisfied by the N zeros $\bar{z}_m$ of the Wilson polynomial $W_N(z)$. This property of the N zeros of the Wilson polynomial of degree N is needed to prove our main result (see Section 3). It obtains so easily from standard formulas for the Wilson polynomials that it could hardly be presented as a *new* finding, although we have not been able to find it in print. The diligent reader might wish to verify numerically that this result is correct—but note that such a check can hardly be performed without computer assistance (say, by Maple or Mathematica), even for quite small values of N .

6.2 Formulas for the Racah polynomials

The Racah polynomial of degree N is defined by (see (KS-1.2.1) of [16])

$$R_N(\lambda(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -N, N + \alpha + \beta + 1, -x, x + \gamma + \delta + 1 \\ \alpha + 1, \beta + \delta + 1, \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad (41)$$

where $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $\lambda(x) = x(x + \gamma + \delta + 1)$ and the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ satisfy (only) one of the following conditions:

$$\alpha + 1 = -\nu \text{ or } \beta + \delta + 1 = -\nu \text{ or } \gamma + 1 = -\nu, \quad (42)$$

with ν a nonnegative integer. We note that R_N is a polynomial of degree N in $\lambda(x)$:

$$R_N(\lambda(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(-N)_n (N + \alpha + \beta + 1)_n [\lambda(x)]_n}{n! (\alpha + 1)_n (\beta + \delta + 1)_n (\gamma + 1)_n}, \quad (43)$$

where $(a)_n$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol, see (32), and the symbol $[\lambda(x)]_n$ is here defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda(x)]_n &= (-x)_n (x + \gamma + \delta + 1)_n \\ &= -\lambda(x) [-\lambda(x) + (\gamma + \delta + 1) + 1] [-\lambda(x) + 2(\gamma + \delta + 1) + 2^2] \\ &\quad \cdots [-\lambda(x) + (n-1)(\gamma + \delta + 1) + (n-1)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

We define the *monic* polynomial of degree N in $\lambda(x)$

$$Q_N(\lambda(x)) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_N (\beta + \delta + 1)_N (\gamma + 1)_N}{(N + \alpha + \beta + 1)_N} R_N(\lambda(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta), \quad (45)$$

and also introduce

$$q_{2N}(x) = Q_N(\lambda(x)), \quad (46)$$

a polynomial of degree $2N$ in x . By formula (KS-1.2.5), $q_{2N}(x)$ satisfies the difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} N(N + \alpha + \beta + 1) q_{2N}(x) &= C(x) q_{2N}(x+1) + D(x) q_{2N}(x-1) \\ &\quad - [C(x) + D(x)] q_{2N}(x) , \end{aligned} \quad (47a)$$

where

$$C(x) = \frac{(x + \alpha + 1)(x + \beta + \delta + 1)(x + \gamma + 1)(x + \gamma + \delta + 1)}{(2x + \gamma + \delta + 1)(2x + \gamma + \delta + 2)} , \quad (47b)$$

$$D(x) = \frac{x(x - \alpha + \gamma + \delta)(x - \beta + \gamma)(x + \delta)}{(2x + \gamma + \delta)(2x + \gamma + \delta + 1)} . \quad (47c)$$

Let us now introduce the convenient parameter θ and the new variable y as follows:

$$y = x + \theta , \quad \theta = \frac{\gamma + \delta + 1}{2} , \quad (48a)$$

so that

$$\lambda(x) = x(x + 2\theta) = y^2 - \theta^2 . \quad (48b)$$

Because $q_{2N}(x)$ satisfies (47), the related polynomial

$$\tilde{q}_{2N}(y) = q_{2N}(y - \theta) = Q_N(y^2 - \theta^2) = Q_N(\lambda(x)) \quad (49)$$

satisfies the difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} N(N + \alpha + \beta + 1) \tilde{q}_{2N}(y) &= \tilde{D}(y) \tilde{q}_{2N}(y+1) + \tilde{D}(-y) \tilde{q}_{2N}(y-1) \\ &\quad - [\tilde{D}(y) + \tilde{D}(-y)] \tilde{q}_{2N}(y) , \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $\tilde{D}(y)$ is defined by (6).

References

- [1] Bruschi, M., Calogero, F. and Droghei, R., Proof of certain Diophantine conjectures and identification of remarkable classes of orthogonal polynomials , J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, 3815-3829 (2007)
- [2] Bruschi, M., Calogero, F. and Droghei, R., Tridiagonal matrices, orthogonal polynomials and Diophantine relations. I, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, 9793-9817 (2007)
- [3] Bruschi, M., Calogero, F. and Droghei, R., Tridiagonal matrices, orthogonal polynomials and Diophantine relations. II, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, 14759-14772 (2007)
- [4] Bruschi, M., Calogero, F. and Droghei, R., Additional recursion relations, factorizations and Diophantine properties associated with the polynomials of the Askey scheme, Advances Math. Phys., vol. 2009, Article ID 268134 (43 pages) (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/268134

- [5] Bruschi, M., Calogero, F. and Droghei, R., Polynomials defined by three-term recursion relations and satisfying a second recursion relation: connection with discrete integrability, remarkable (often Diophantine) factorizations, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **18**, 1-39 (2011)
- [6] Chen, Y. and Ismail, M. E. H., Hypergeometric origins of Diophantine properties associated with the Askey scheme, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138**, 943-951 (2010)
- [7] Ismail, M. E. H. and Rahman, M., Diophantine properties of orthogonal polynomials and rational functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (in press)
- [8] Bihun, O. and Calogero, F., Equilibria of a recently identified solvable N -body problem and related properties of the N numbers x_n at which the Jacobi polynomial of order N has the same value, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **20**, 539-551 (2013)
- [9] Bihun, O. and Calogero, F., Properties of the zeros of generalized hypergeometric polynomials, *J. Math. Analysis Appl.* **419**, 1076-1094 (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.05.023>
- [10] Calogero, F. and Yi, G., Diophantine properties of the zeros of certain Laguerre and para Jacobi polynomials, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45**, 095207 (2012) (9 pages), doi: 10.1088/1751-8113/45/9/095207
- [11] Calogero, F. and Yi, G., Polynomials satisfying functional and differential equations and Diophantine properties of their zeros, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **103**, 629-651 (2013), doi:10.1007/s11005-013-0612-y
- [12] Bihun, O., Calogero, F. and Yi, G., Diophantine properties associated to the equilibrium configurations of an isochronous N -body problem, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **20**, 158-178 (2013)
- [13] Calogero, F., On the zeros of polynomials satisfying certain linear second-order ODEs featuring many free parameters, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **20**, 191-198 (2013)
- [14] Calogero, F., Properties of the zeros of the sum of two polynomials, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **20**, 348-354 (2013)
- [15] Calogero, F., Properties of the zeros of the sum of three polynomials, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **20**, 469-474 (2013)
- [16] Koekoek, R. and Swarttouw, R. F., The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue, Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Information Technology and Systems, Department of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Report no. 98-17, (1998) (updated version available on the web: homepage.tudelft.nl/11r49/askey.html)

- [17] Szëgo, G., Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. **23**, AMS, Providence, R. I., USA, (1939)
- [18] Calogero, F., Motion of Poles and Zeros of Special Solutions of Nonlinear and Linear Partial Differential Equations, and Related “Solvable” Many Body Problems, *Nuovo Cimento* **43B**, 177-241 (1978)
- [19] Calogero, F., Classical many-body problems amenable to exact treatments, Lecture Notes in Physics Monograph **m66**, Springer, Berlin (2001)
- [20] Calogero, F., Isochronous systems, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008 (marginally updated paperback edition, 2012)
- [21] Ahmed, S., Bruschi, M., Calogero, F., Olshanetsky, M. A. and Perelomov, A. M. Properties of the zeros of the classical polynomials and of Bessel functions, *Nuovo Cimento* **49B**, 173-199 (1979)
- [22] Erdélyi, A. (main editor), Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.